

The force of custom: law and the ordering of everyday life in Kyrgyzstan

August Samie

To cite this article: August Samie (2017): The force of custom: law and the ordering of everyday life in Kyrgyzstan, Central Asian Survey, DOI: [10.1080/02634937.2017.1365540](https://doi.org/10.1080/02634937.2017.1365540)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02634937.2017.1365540>



Published online: 30 Aug 2017.



Submit your article to this journal 



Article views: 1



View related articles 



CrossMark

View Crossmark data 

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at
<http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=ccas20>

It is precisely through its malleable reception to non-native norms that Beyer discusses the relation of *salt* to the state, a highlight in the monograph. In chapter 2, she illustrates how her informants 'altered the imperial landscape' in order actively to remember top-down Russian and Soviet reforms as part of their own lives (46). Delving into the unmaking of the *Kolkhoz Kommunism*, Beyer illustrates how the post-Soviet policies of decollectivization are nonetheless remembered by her informants as an active choice. Tellingly, they do not perceive privatizing and decollectivizing buildings, livestock and organizational units as forced upon them; rather, her informants narrativize these turbulent episodes of recent history as though they jointly agreed upon these measures and followed common directives in paving their own way after independence from the Soviet Union, which they also view as an active decision (46–54).

This attention to her informants' agency in memory and narrative lays the groundwork to further her engagement with the state in chapter 3 through a fruitful discussion of *aksakal* courts, and the state's presence in the provinces. Upon the initiative of the government under Askar Akaev, Kyrgyzstan's first president, *aksakal* courts were officially instated for the first time in the 1993 constitution. As a means of nation-building and decentralization, Akaev promoted *aksakal* courts to take the burden of litigation off the state and to encourage greater local governance (32–33, 63–64). Despite the inexorable decline of the state's material presence in provincial Kyrgyzstan, villagers in Beyer's field sites nonetheless invoke its symbolic presence through the use of emblems, language and rituals that they associate with the state (60). These symbolic invocations of the state allow the provincial elders to create order (*tartip*) through the production of the 'atmosphere' of the state (73), thereby strengthening *aksakal* courts and permitting processes of customization within provincial legal orders. In a way, these developments of a symbolic state run parallel to the formation of what Beyer claims to be a pseudo-Potemkin state: following Akaev's emphasis on decentralization, the Kyrgyz government pays for amplified police forces and visible state insignia while ignoring basic necessities such as clean water, electricity and healthcare (81).

Scholars of Central Asia and Iran will find particularly stimulating a more socio-anthropologically focused discussion on *salt* in chapter 6, where Beyer analyses the cultural discourse around shame, or *uiat*, and shame-anxiety. In a subsection entitled '*Uiat* as Shame-Anxiety', Beyer explores how *uiat*, 'an emotional practice by means of which individuals are socialized into subjecting themselves to an awareness of others' expectations' (148), is used by adults to socialize children through shame and to alter adults' social behaviours through shame-anxiety. Much like other aspects of *salt*, *uiat* takes on gendered connotations. Women understand shame-anxiety within the context of *uiat*, that is, operating to avoid shame, whereas men interpret their actions toward *urmat* (respect), in order to prevent the loss of social status, perceived or actual. Though Beyer does not draw direct links, this discourse of shame in Kyrgyz society suggests comparisons with other Turko-Persianate social customs. Akin to Iranian shame and socialization customs of '*ayb* (*ayıp* in Turkish), *uiat* is tethered to phrases, such as '*uiat bolot!*' (it will be *uiat!*) and '*uialbaisynby?*' (aren't you ashamed?). Near translations both in language and in context of these same phrases are found in the greater Persianate world: for example, in Iran, '*ayb ast!*' and '*khejālat nemikashī?*'. Whether these similar shame discourses are mere analogies or bear actual cultural affinities seems a potential ground for comparative and transnational studies on the socio-cultural landscape of politically fractured Central Asia and the Turko-Persianate world.

Beyer's monograph is an excellent addition to the field of Kyrgyz, Central Asian and post-Soviet (though she may make an argument against this term) studies, as well as to studies of the broader Islamicate and Turko-Persianate world. With her analyses of *salt*, Beyer illustrates a crucial aspect of Kyrgyz life and the force through which this custom accompanies all her informants, dictates their behaviour and permits their rationalization of self and history. For

scholars of Central Asia, Beyer's work puts forth interesting comparisons with other nation-states and sets the stage for furthering anthropological, historical and political-scientific scholarship beyond frameworks that have shaped many scholars' research thus far.

August Samie
University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
 augustsamie@uchicago.edu

© 2017 August Samie
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02634937.2017.1365540>

